

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

> Honorable William W. Allen County Attorney Lavaca County Hallettaville, Texas

Dear Siri

Opinion No. 0-3664
Re: Under Officers' Salary Law
officers must collect all
fees permitted by law and
place same in Officers'
Balany Fund.

Tour request for opinion has been received and carefully considered by this department. We quote from your request as follows:

"I would appreciate your opinion in regard to the interpretation of Sec. 8 of H. B. No. 76 of the Legislature now in session. Said Sec. 8 of said bill reads as fellows:

character that have assented or attached or that may hereafter accous or attach to or by reason of delinquent poll or ad valores taxes on which said poll or ad valores tax the interest and condities have been released by any of the provisions of this Act shall be and the same are hereby released, and no such costs shall hereafter be charged, collected, or accounted for, provided, however, that any costs that are now due and payable to any officer or official shall remain a valid obligation, notwithstanding the provision hereof.

"Would said provision apply to a County on the salary system where the County Officers are Honorable William W. Allen, Page 2

paid a salary, but certain costs or fees are due their office, and same is turned over to the County Salary Fund? And where no fee is due individually to said officer. Would the costs such as \$1.00 fee allowed for each tract, etc., have to be charged and collected in such a ease?"

Section 5 of Article 3912e, V. A. C. S., reads as follows:

"Sec. 5. It shall be the duty of all officers to charge and collect in the manner authorized by law all feet and commissions which are permitted by law to be assessed and collected for all official service performed by them. As and when such fees are collected they shall be deposited in the Officers' Salary Fund, or funds provided in this Act. event the Commissioners' Court finds that the failure to collect any fee or coumission was due to neglect on the part of the officer charged with the responsibility of collecting same, the amount of such fee or commission shall be deducted from the salary of such officer. Before any such deduction is made. the Commissioners' Court shall furnish such officer with an itemized statement of the uncollected fees with which his account is to be charged, and shall notify such officer of the time and place for a hearing on same, to determine whether such officer was guilty of negligence, which time for hearing shall be at least ten days subsequent to the date of notice. Unless an officer is charged by law with the responsibility of collecting fees, the Commissioners' Court shall not in any event make any deductions from the authorized salary of such officer."

In a salary county the fees to which the officer would be entitled personally if he were on the fee system belong to the county. The officer must collect them for the county and place them in the Officers' Salary Fund. It was clearly contemplated by the Legislature that the salaries of

Honorable Eilliss W. Allen, Page S

county officers should be paid largely from fees of office deposited in the Officers' Salary Fund.

Article 3, Section 55 of our State Constitution. reads as follows:

"The Legislature shall have no power to release or extinguish, or to authorize the releasing or extinguishing, in whole or in part, the indebtedness, liability or obliga-tion of any corporation or individual, to this State or to any county or defined subdivision theroof, or other municipal corporation the ain, except delinquent taxes which have been due for a period of at least ten years."

We are familiar with the case of Jones vs. Williams. 45 S.W. (Ed) 130, which holds that the constitutional inhibition of remission of penalties by special law implies the legislative power of remission of penalties by general law. It is our opinion however, that the costs and fees due officers (in fee counties) and due counties (in salary counties) which were extract prior to the passage of said agt are not penalties and cannot be remitted by the Legislature in violation of section 55 of Article 5 of our State Constitution. Said act is invalid insofer as it attempts to remit sosts and fees sermed by the officers (for themselves in fee counties and for the counties in selary counties) prior to the passage of the act.

You are therefore respectfully advised that it is the opinion of this department that if the fees and costs mentionof in the act were earned prior to the passage of the act and at a time the officers were on a salary system such fees and sosts should be collected by such officers and be placed in the Officers' Salary Fund of the county.

OVER JUL 17 1941 ATTOMIET CHIEFAL OF TEXAS

OF THE STATE OF THE STATE

ATTORNET CELERAL

Her. J. - Janning Assistant

WJP: oaw

